UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,714	09/06/2006	Werner Weppner	2923-775	3140
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/591,714	WEPPNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Crepeau	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A     2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This     3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,4 and 12-18 is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 3 and 5-11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 06 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is object	re withdrawn from consideration.  For election requirement.  For eare: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/6/06 3/9/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/591,714 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on April 12, 2010 is acknowledged.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references cited on the IDS of September 6, 2006 have not been considered because no copies of the references were found in the file wrapper. However, the Thangadurai et al. 2003 article was cited again on the IDS of March 9, 2007 and has been considered.

#### Claim Suggestions

3. In claims 3 and 7, it is suggested that the phrases "such as e.g." and "preferably" be removed from the claims. In interpreting these claims, the recitations following these phrases have been treated as optional. Appropriate correction is suggested but not required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/591,714

Art Unit: 1795

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 3, 5, 6, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thangadurai et al (*J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2003).

The reference is directed to a solid ion conductor comprising a garnet-like crystal structure and having a composition of Li<sub>5</sub>La<sub>3</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub> or Li<sub>5</sub>La<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. Thus, in correspondence to the instant claims, "L" is Li, "A" or "G" is La, and "M" is Nb or Ta.

The reference does not expressly teach that the Li has a subscript of "5+x", where x is greater than 0 and less than or equal to 2, as recited in claim 3.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. *Titanium Metals Corp.* of America v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985). In this case one skilled in the art would expect a composition comprising e.g., Li<sub>5.01</sub>La<sub>3</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub> pursuant to the instant claims to have similar properties to the disclosed Li<sub>5</sub>La<sub>3</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. Additionally, it is submitted that the compound would inherently be stable towards elemental lithium at lithium activities corresponding to a voltage of 5 V, as recited in claim 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,714 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7, 9, and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 7 recites that "A" is selected from divalent cations, while claims 9 and 10 recite that "A" is selected from Ca, Sr, and/or Ba. Thangadurai et al., the closest prior art, does not teach or fairly suggest these compositions.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley, can be reached at (571) 272-1453. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1795

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 June 9, 2010